IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
APPELLATE SIDE

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 2194 OF 2007

Lalchand Kalyandas Rupani. ... Applicant.

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra. ... Respondent.

Ganesh Gole for the applicant.

S.S.Pednekar, APP for the State.

CORAM: V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED: 13th July 2007.

P.C.:

. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. By this application, the applicant is seeking anticipatory bail in C.R.No.I-98/2007 registered with Ulhasnagar Police Station, for the offences punishable under sections 420, 406, 465, 468, 471 read with section 34 of Indian Penal Code.

3. Mr.Gole, learned counsel for the applicant urged that the applicant was only a shareholder of the company, viz., United Service Pvt. Ltd. He was neither the director of the company nor he was involved in day to day management of the company. On

being asked, learned A.P.P., appearing for the State, conceded and said that the applicant was not director of the company. He also brought on record that the shareholders other than directors of the company have already been released on bail. The applicant has not been named in the F.I.R. The investigation is complete. Under these circumstances, considering, prima facie; remoteness of involvement of the present applicant, I am inclined to grant prearrest bail to the applicant, subject to following conditions:

(a) in the event of arrest of the applicant in in connection with C.R.No.I-98/2007 registered with the Ulhasnagar Police Station he shall be released on bail of Rs.50,000/- with one or two surety to make up the said amount.

(b) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly, make any inducement or threat or pressurise any prosecution witnesses and shall not in any manner tamper with the prosecution evidence.

(c) that the applicant shall report to the Ulhasnagar Police Station on every Friday between 3.00 to 5.00 p.m. until the trial is over and shall co-operate with the

investigating agency. It is needless to mention that breach of any of the conditions by the applicant shall result in cancellation of bail.

(d) This order of anticipatory bail shall operate till the filing of the chargesheet. After filing of chargesheet, it would be open for the applicant to apply regular bail before regular court.

3. Application stands disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE